

From: [Alperin-Sheriff, Jacob \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: Re: Before You Respond to Anyone That Their Submission is Complete
Date: Thursday, December 7, 2017 2:21:56 PM

I added a new column to the master table on whether I've also checked the comments.

(I also hid a column I created on Monday separating schemes into Key agreement/exchange or signatures [to minimize complexity of the problem-type table]. I don't anticipate this will be a problem for you or anyone but I figured I'd mention it).

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Thursday, December 7, 2017 at 2:18 PM
To: "Alperin-Sheriff, Jacob (Fed)" <jacob.alperin-sheriff@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: Before You Respond to Anyone That Their Submission is Complete

Ok.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Alperin-Sheriff, Jacob (Fed)" <jacob.alperin-sheriff@nist.gov>
Date: Thu, December 07, 2017 1:08 PM -0600
To: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: Before You Respond to Anyone That Their Submission is Complete

I am going to want to go back over most of the submissions to ensure that they've met the I/O function specification comments standard I've set for Bernstein and some other submitters.

(before I was just looking through the code seeing if I saw a "good number" of comments).

So just a heads up that most of my reviews should currently be considered incomplete, but before I go back I want to at least get the more serious coding issues dealt with and do a first run-through.

—Jacob Alperin-Sheriff